

REMARKS

Claim 1 was rejected under 35USC103(a) as being unpatentable over Avins in view of Puckette.


Claim 2 was indicated as allowable.

Claim 1 has been limited by combination with claim 2 in accordance with the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter, and subject to a clarifying amendment on line 8 and some relatively minor grammatical amendments on line 11.

In view of the foregoing, allowance of all the claims presently in the application is respectfully requested, as is passage to issuance of the application. If the Examiner should feel that the application is not yet in a condition for allowance and that a telephone interview would be useful, he is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned attorney at 973 386 8252.

Respectfully submitted,

**Matthias Berger
Claus Dorschky
Herbert Haunstein
Frank Kunz
Christoph Schulien
Konrad Sticht**

By 
Stephen M. Gurey
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 27336

Date: *December 23, 2005*

**Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219)
Lucent Technologies Inc.
101 Crawfords Corner Road
Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030**